

OECD *Multilingual Summaries*

OECD Studies on Environmental Innovation. Better Policies to Support Eco-innovation

Summary in English



- Innovative products, services, processes or business models can benefit the environment by reducing pressure on natural resources and/or the emission of pollutants. At the same time, environmentally friendly innovation can foster economic development. The environmental goods and services industry is growing fast in OECD and non-member countries alike. Like information technologies a few decades ago, it can enhance the competitiveness of other industries. This explains why a number of OECD governments see environmentally friendly innovation (hereafter eco-innovation) as a major driver of green growth.
- From a policy perspective, the question is: What is the best way to support the development and diffusion of eco-innovation? More specifically, from an environmental policy perspective, the issue is to stimulate innovation that will benefit the environment.
- This perspective has consequences. First, it acknowledges that eco-innovations may originate in a variety of contexts and that environmental performance may not be the initial driver. Second, non-technical innovation matters (for instance, the on-demand bicycle service in Paris relies little on technology and heavily on a sophisticated business model and appropriate organisation). Third, the way innovations are used (that is, whether more or less competently) matters.
- This report explores how these consequences help to shape eco-innovation policies. It complements previous OECD work on eco-innovation, which generally focused on the impact of market failures on the amount of environmental inventions and on the instruments and policy packages that can remedy such failures. It also complements ongoing studies of business approaches to eco-innovation and empirical analyses of the changes in industrial structure required to achieve green growth.

National strategies for eco-innovation have strengths and limitations

Most OECD countries have developed national strategies to support eco-innovation. In Europe, the Environmental Technology Action Plan (ETAP) has invited EU members to develop eco-innovation roadmaps and to report initiatives taken at national and/or local level to support eco-innovation. Outside Europe, a number of OECD countries have similar initiatives; in particular, Korea and the United States have designed explicit strategies to stimulate eco-innovation.

National strategies address a variety of objectives: bridging the gap from the demonstration phase to commercialisation (e.g. in the field of carbon capture and storage or micro combined heat and power generation), improving consumer awareness (e.g. of biopackaging), defining technical standards (e.g. for electric cars), and building a critical mass (e.g. for combined heat and power generation). They cover a wide range of policies, from environment to science and technology, industry, transport, competition, and energy policies. They mix very diverse tools and initiatives, from support for research and development (R&D) to market creation and export promotion. They involve initiatives by public authorities at both national and local levels and offer lessons regarding an appropriate split of responsibilities between them. Roadmaps provide a framework to assess the coherence of these policies.

One result is an apparent contrast between policies in place in European countries, which tend to focus on stimulating the supply of eco-innovation (e.g. through R&D support), and strategies in place in non European OECD countries, which pay more attention to creating demand for eco-innovation (e.g. through performance standards).

It is not clear how national strategies support the development of eco-innovations when alternative technological trajectories abound. There is a risk that a strategy, when too narrowly or strictly focused, will restrict the scope of technological options that will be explored and impinge on the development of alternative trajectories. Timing is essential.

Moving from green technologies to the environmental benefit of innovation in use

The case studies examined in this report highlight the long history of selected eco-innovations (such as combined heat and power generation and electric cars) and note that they often originated outside the environmental domain. For example, carbon capture and storage combines a set of commercially available component technologies from the oil, chemical and power generation industries. Furthermore, a number of eco-innovations are not regarded as particularly high technology: for example, biopackaging can improve the environmental performance of the food, drink, cosmetics and pharmaceutical industries, using mundane resources and mature techniques.

A number of policy messages derive from these observations:

- making mature technologies more market-friendly is as important as producing new knowledge;
- technical and non-technical innovations matter equally;
- capturing innovations originating in non-environmental domains opens a large spectrum.

It follows that eco-innovation policies interact with policies developed in other domains. This raises issues of consistency, governance and monitoring. In particular, from an environmental policy perspective, monitoring could focus on the environmental benefit of innovation-in-use.

Eco-innovation policies are linked to industrial and competition issues

When considering the trajectories along which eco-innovations are developed and brought to the market, innovative industries reveal two opposing patterns which may require policy makers to consider a number of concepts, instruments and indicators when developing eco-innovation policies.

The first pattern is one of R& D economies of scope and market substitution, which lead to escalation along a single technical trajectory and potentially to a high level of concentration. Typically, only one combined heat and

power generation (CHP) technology is used in the market for a given size of applications. In such cases, public R&D expenditure benefits all players in the field; similarly, all firms potentially benefit from market creation mechanisms (e.g. performance standards, labels, green procurement).

The second pattern emerges when there is no economy of scope for R&D and when demand is split among non-substitutable goods and services. For instance, the electric car industry may be characterised by the coexistence of separate trajectories (e.g. hybrid, full electric), with little (if any) economies of scope for R&D, and non-substitutable market segments. In such a context, there is a risk that public R&D expenditure and market creation mechanisms will only benefit one cluster of industries, at the expense of others.

This links eco-innovation policies to industrial and competition issues. When facing a proliferation of possible technical trajectories, should a government concentrate R&D efforts and budgets on one technological trajectory or encourage a diversity of solutions by simultaneously supporting alternate routes? The first option focuses public support but may generate lock-in effects. The second option fragments R&D efforts and markets, potentially delaying diffusion. The CHP case study shows that Germany and Canada adopt different strategies in this area and have different policy priorities.

Co-ordination is needed across time, layers of government and the public and private sectors

As the case studies make clear, eco-innovation policies need to be co-ordinated in many ways.

First, policies to support eco-innovation generally develop and evolve over long periods, and coherence can be difficult to maintain over time. In addition, priorities and needs evolve and instruments have to be revised and adapted. For instance, policies to support micro-CHP in Germany have developed over 30 years; the initial emphasis was on R&D and has led to important developments and a fragmented marketplace; since 2005, the major instrument is NOW, a joint initiative of several federal ministries, which mainly aims to develop applied research and field tests. Policy makers would benefit from a better understanding of when and how to introduce an instrument, and when and how to phase others out.

Second, sub-national authorities actively support eco-innovation. They have developed capacities to address environmental concerns at their level, and they consider environmental goods and services as new engines for growth. Co-operation built on a better understanding of the respective roles of the different layers is needed across levels of government.

Third, co-ordination between research and industry is essential. Deployment matters just as much as development of new knowledge. The private sector is the main vehicle for deployment, both domestically and internationally (through trade and foreign direct investment). This means that:

- demonstration is essential, and governments can bridge the gap between research and industry when markets fail;
- knowledge transfer networks, incubators and other forms of partnerships can help to circulate information between research and industry;
- public-private partnerships can contribute to effective governance in support of eco-innovation.

Fourth, when markets are uncertain, (international) co-operative research can pool development risks and share information. The case study on carbon capture and storage identifies opportunities for international co-operation (e.g. on common regulation; on policies to transport and store carbon in neighbouring countries; on R&D and demonstration subsidies). More could be learned on the appropriate instruments, timing and risks related to (international) co-operation for eco-innovation, taking account of environmental, science, industry and competition perspectives.

Eco-innovation calls for focused technology transfer models

To reap the full environmental benefit of available products, services, and processes, the transfer of eco-innovations is essential. Transfers to developing countries topped the policy agenda on climate change mitigation at the Conference of the Parties 15 in December 2009 in Copenhagen.

Recent research shared at the 2009 OECD Global Forum on Environment suggests that international co-operation mechanisms are more effective when they strengthen developing countries' own capacities to grow or adapt existing eco-innovations. This requires flows of underlying and tacit knowledge (know-how and know-why). This is not limited to higher education: low-skill jobs may be required.

The report inventories viable models for a more focused, needs-based approach to building eco-innovation capabilities in developing countries.

© OECD

Reproduction of this summary is allowed provided the OECD copyright and the title of the original publication are mentioned.

Multilingual summaries are translated excerpts of OECD publications originally published in English and in French.

They are available free of charge on the OECD Online Bookshop www.oecd.org/bookshop

For more information, contact the OECD Rights and Translation unit,

Public Affairs and Communications Directorate at: rights@oecd.org or by fax: +33 (0)1 45 24 99 30.

OECD Rights and Translation unit (PAC)

2 rue André-Pascal, 75116

Paris, France

Visit our website www.oecd.org/rights/

